



EVENT REPORT FORM¹

Project title	Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk				
	management in Western Balkan countries				
Project acronym	NatRisk				
Project reference number	573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP				
Coordinator	University of Nis				
Project start date	October 15, 2016				
Project duration	36 months				

Event	Study visits and analysis of courses best practices in EU countries
Type of event	Study visit
Venue	University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU)
Date	14 November 2017
Organizer	University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU)
Reporting date	15 November 2017
Report author(s)	Michael Tritthart

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹This form has to be filled by event organisers and sent on e-mail address: natriskuni@gmail.com five days after event. The term event relates to the meetings, workshops, exhibitions, conferences, etc....



EVENT DESCRIPTION with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	20	
Participants (organisations)	WB partners, BOKU	
Event description:		

This document reports the Study visit and analysis of courses best practices in EU countries of the Erasmus+ Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education project "Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries" (NatRisk), held at the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU) on 14 November 2017. The meeting was chaired by Prof. Michael Tritthart, BOKU. The objective was to introduce to all WB project partners the most relevant issues related to the flood protection at the Danube River.

Twenty representatives from 5 partner institutions were present at the meeting (18 out of 20 were from WB partner HEIs – UNI, UNSA, KPA, UBL).

After the participants' registration, which started at 09:45, Michael Tritthart, BOKU, presented shortly the scheduled agenda and afterwards the study visit commenced with five different site visits.

Site visit 1: Inlet gates of Vienna's flood protection system at the Danube

Michael Tritthart explained the flood protection system of Vienna at the inlet gates, which are located at the entrance to the artificial channel "Neue Donau" and are opened in case of floods.

Site visit 2: Flood levee at the Danube

The major flood levee at the Danube was visited in close neighborhood to the municipality "Orth/Donau" and information about the construction were presented by Michael Tritthart.

Site visit 3: Flood levees and integrated river engineering project on the Danube The special construction of the flood levees close to the village "Stopfenreuth" and several aspects of the integrated river engineering project on the Danube were presented by Michael Tritthart.

Site visit 4: Service center "Carnuntum" of "viadonau"

Information on the Austrian Danube River management authority "viadonau" were presented by DI Stefan Scheuringer (head of the department for flood protection operations) at the service center "Carnuntum". Afterwards the sand filling machine of "viadonau" was shown and the operation in case of emergency





was discussed in depth.

Site visit 5: Mobile flood protection measures

The mobile flood protection measures of the city "Hainburg" and their installation in case of floods, as well as the ongoing maintenance works at the permanently installed flood protection structures of the city, were presented by Stefan Scheuringer.

After the site visits the participants returned to Vienna where the study visit finished at 16:30.

Attachments

Agenda (pdf)	BOKU study visit - agenda			
Attendance sheet (pdf)	BOKU study visit - participation list			
Photos (jpg)				
News form (pdf)	11 Study visit and training of teaching staff in Vienna -			
	news			
Deliverable (pdf)	BOKU – Study visit report			
Presentations (pdf)				
Other personal remarks				





Organisation details

Invitation sent to	22 participants
Date of event material release	07 November 2017
Date of participants list's finalisation	07 November 2017
Date of agenda finalisation	30 October 2017
Number of participants (according to the participants list)	20
Comments	

Problems encountered during the event preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any: ---

Strengths and limitations of the event (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants	 Good interaction and experience exchange between participants Presentations of flood protection system were very useful Presented topic was of great importance for the WB partners
Suggestions for the improvement	
Any further comments	> The organisation was at the highest level





Evaluation details

Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event

Description	- 1 11 1 - 1				
he general opinion is th	lat the study	visit was excelle	nt organisea.		
Table(s)/Figure(s)					
The general organisation	of the study	visit in percent	age is presented	in the following	table
ine general organisation	for the study	visit in percent	ige is presented	in the following	table.
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0				<i>y</i>	
Logistic preparation					
and organization of	0	0	0	0	100.0
meeting					
Content of the	0	0	0	15.0	85.0
Agenda	0	Ū	0	10.0	00.0
Arrangements of the					
meeting (venue, equipment, etc.)	0	0	0	15.0	85.0
equipment, etc.)					
The general	lorganisa	tion of the st	ndv visit		
The general	018411104				
Arrangements of the mee	eting				
(venue, equipment, etc	z.)	1	4.85		
	,				
Content of the Age	nda		4.85		
Logistic preparation	and				
organization of meet				5.00	
-				-	
	4.00 4	.20 4.40 4	.60 4.80 5	.00	

Results of evaluation of general working communication

Description

The quality of presentations and prepared agenda and material were evaluated with high marks.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

The general working communication in percentage is presented in the following table:





Grading	Poor	ОК	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Communication before the meeting	0	0	0	10.0	90.0
Duration and timetable of the meeting	0	0	0	15.0	85.0
Quality of materials provided during the meeting	0	0	0	25.0	75.0
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	10.0	90.0
Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners	0	0	0	10.0	90.0
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	0	0	5.0	20.0	75.0
Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached	0	0	0	15.0	85.0

General working communication

Objectives in the agenda regarding the NatRisk project are reached Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions Communication between the coordinator of the project and the other partners Quality of presentations

Quality of materials provided during the meeting

Duration and timetable of the meeting

Communication before the meeting







Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

Description

The overall success of the study visit was graded as excellent.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

The overall success of the meeting in percentage is presented in the following table:

Grading	Poor	ОК	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the meeting	0	0	5.0	25.0	70.0
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	0	0	0	25.0	75.0
Achievement of the meeting and project goals	0	0	0	25.0	75.0
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and meetings	0	0	0	30.0	70.0
Assignment of follow-up tasks	0	0	0	20.0	80.0







Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:

Location, date

Vienna, 15 November 2017

Signature <u>Michael Tritthart</u>